FIELD REPORT

Transition and Everyday life

In post-Soviet countries, including Armenia, the transition processes are taught solely as a phenomena related to the progress of economic and political institutional reforms. However, the first years of those processes have already shown that the transition is not a process disconnected from the everyday life of the people and that the discussions of this issue need a new comprehensive approach. The presented syllabus aims at enlarging the presentational framework of the transition issue and at presenting the later in the context of the interconnection with the everyday life.

My visit to the UC Berkeley had several key objectives.

First, I wanted to present the syllabus prepared by me in the academic environment, which had traditions and experience in that area and to modify its content based on the proposals received during the discussions. *Second*, I wanted to get acquianted with the social science teaching methodology of one of the leading universities in the world – UC Berkeley, and to adopt some approaches. *Third* important issue was related to supplementing the bibliography of the syllabus with contemporary literature, which was a problem in Armenia in means of accesibility. And *forth* important issue was the establishment of the academic ties.

Several events have contributed to the implementation of the first objective. Firstly, it was the thorough discussion during the Workshop organized in the Berkeley Post-Soviet Center (BPS). The opinions and proposals presented by Victoria Bonnell, Yuri Slezkine and Edward Walker helped to make the structure and the themes of the syllabus more precise.

The meetings and discussions organized with Victoria Bonnell, Michael Burawoy, Stephan Astourian and Cihan Tugal have also contributed to the revision of the structure and the themes of the seminars. Particularly, extremely productive was the discussion with Victoria Bonnell. Her huge professional experience and big human kindness helped me to ultimately clarify the everyday life framework, the teaching methodology and to enlarge the bibliography. She even provided me with the PDF files of the literature, which was being recommended by her for learning – the works of Erving Goffman, Georg Simmel and Norbert Elias.

The meeting with Michael Burawoy was very useful for reviewing the thematic framework of the first part of the syllabus regarding the transition. The meeting with Stephan Astouryan was useful with regard to the discussion of the transition issues of Armenia, and with Cihan Tugal we discussed the issues related to the religion and everyday life.

The participation to several classes and seminars of the bachelors and masters courses and the Syllabus workshop led by Kim Starr-Red played a very crucial role for the second objective – the syllabus methodology. As a result, I have decided to apply a methodological innovation which was yet unknown to the Yerevan State University – the reading oriented seminars methodology, which is popular throughout the UC Berkeley masters courses, and to combine it with the discussions of the issues related to Armenia. Our discussion with Victoria Bonnell have contributed to this methodological innovation. She proposed me to carry out the review of the adequate practices in Armenia in parallel with the theoretical issues related to the everyday life. I liked that very much and it made me excited, because in this way the students would understand and process the theoretical material better on one hand and the theory for them would be based on the tangible practical material and would develop their research abilities on the other hand.

The provision of the possibility to use the library of the Berkeley University have significantly contributed to the solution of the third issue. This allowed finding electronic versions and copies of a quite big number of articles and books. The purchased literature has also backed the solution of this issue. As a result, it was possible to elaborate the Reading List necessary for the syllabus.

As for the professional ties, which have been established with the specialists from the Institute of Slavic, East European and Eurasian Studies, these are very important for me as a specialist dealing with issues of transition and post-Soviet anthropology.

READING LIST:

- 1. Abrahamian L. 2010. Yerevan: Memory and Oblivion in Space Organization in the post-Soviet City. In: Antropologicheskiy Forum (Anthropological Forum) #12, pp. 248-271, (in Russian).
- 2. Alexander, C. 2007. Urban life in post-Soviet Asia. University College London Press, Lodon,

UK

- 3. Allen J. 1999. Worlds Within Cities. In Massey D., Allen J., and Pile S., (eds), City Worlds, Routledge, London, p. 45-64.
- 4. Amartya S. 1999. Development as Freedom. Oxford, Oxford University Press
- 5. Anderson D. 1998. Living in a Subterranean Landscape: Identity Politics in Post-Soviet Khakassia. In: Surviving Post-Socialism: Local Strategies and Regional Responses. Pine, F. and Bridger, S., Eds. Pp. 52-65. New York: Routledge,
- 6. Andrusz G., Harloe M. and Szelenyi I. 1996. Cities After Socialism. Wiley-Blackwel
- 7. Berger P., Luckmann T. 1966. The Social Construction of Reality, A Treatise on Sociology of Knowledge.
- 8. Blurrier H., 1986. Symbolic Interactionism: Perspective and Method. University of California Press
- 9. Bonnell V. 1996. Identities in Transition: Eastern Europe and Russia After the Collapse of Communism
- 10. Bourdieu P. 1984. Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgement of Taste. Harvard University Press.
- 11. Bourdieu P. 2002. Outline of The Theory of Practice. Cambridge University Press.12. Bourdieu. P. 1986. The forms of capital. In J. Richardson (Ed.) Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education. New York: Greenwood Press
- 13. Braudel F. 1979. Civilization and Capitalism, 15th–18th Centuries, The Structures of Everyday Life (vol.1)
- 14. Brade. I, and Axenov, K. (2006). The Transformation of Urban Space in Post-Soviet Russia.

Routledge, 1 st edition.

15. Burawoy M, Verdery K, eds. 1999. Uncertain Transition: Ethnographies of Change in the Postsocialist World. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield

- 16. Castells M. 1977. The Urban question: a Marxist approach. The MIT press, Cambridge, MA.
- 17. Castells, M. 1983. The City and the Grassroots. London: Arnold.
- 18. Chary Sh., and Verdery K. 2009. Thinking between the Posts: Postcolonialism, Postsocialism, and Ethnography after the Cold War. in: Comparative Studies in Society and History, 51(1): 6–34.
- 19. Elias N., 1994. The Civilizing Process: Sociogenetic and Psychogenetic Investigations, Vol. I-II
- 20. Ernst H. 2008. Critical Geography in Post-Modern Times. Tales of Development: People, Power and Space. Royal Van Corcum, Assen the Netherlands.
- 21. Erjavec A. (ed.) 2003. Postmodernism and the Postsocialist Condition: Politicized Art under Late Socialism. Berkeley: University of California Press
- 22. Escobar A. 1995. Encountering Development: The Making and Unmaking of the

Third World. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.

- 23. Friedman J. 1995. The Right to the City. Society and Nature 1: pp. 71-84.
- 24. Garfinkel H. 2011. Studies in Ethnomethodology. Polity Press.
- 25. Gay Debord. 2002. Society of the Spectacle. Canberra: Treason Press.
- 26.Georg Simmel on Individuality and Social Forms. Donald N. Levine (ed.), 1971. Chicago University of Chicago Press.
- 27. Goffman E. 1959. The Presentation of Selfin Everyday Life. Doubleda.
- 28. Griswold W. 2008. Cultures and Societies in a Changing World, 3 rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Pine Forge Press.
- 29. Hann C.M. 2002. Postsocialism: Ideals, Ideologies, and Practicies in Eurasia, London: Routladge.
- 30. Harvey D. 1981. The Urban Process Under Capitalism: A Framework for Analysis. In: Dear M. and Scott A. (eds.), Urbanization and Urban Planning in Capitalist Society, pp. 91-122.
- 31. Harvey D. 1989. The Urban Experience. Oxford: Blackwell.
- 32. Harvey D.1989. The condition of postmodernity : an enquiry into the origins of cultural change. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- 33. Harvey D. 2008. The Right to the City. New Left Review, 53: pp. 23-40.

- 34. Horschelmann K., 2002. History After the End: Post Socialist Difference in a Postmodern World. In: Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, New Series, Vol. 27, No 1, pp 52-66.
- 35. Kurkchiyan, M. 2005. "Society in Transition" in Herzig, Edmund and Marina Kurkchiyan (eds.). The Armenians Past and Present in the Making of National Identity. London and New York: Routledge, pp. 211-228.
- 36. Lefebvre H., Everyday Life in the Modern World, L., 1971.
- 37. Lefebvre H. 1991. The Production of Space. Blackwell, Oxford.
- 38. Lefebvre H. 1996. Writings on Cities. Blackwell. Cambridge, MA.
- 39. Mandel R. E., and Humphrey C. 2002. Markets and Moralities: Ethnographies of postsocialism. Oxford: Berg.
- 40. Marston S. 2000. The Social Construction of Scale, In: Progress in Human Geography, 24, pp. 219-242.
- 41. Michel De Certeau. 1984. The Practice of Everyday Life. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press
- 42. Niedhart C. 2002. Russia's Carnival: The Smells, Sights and Sounds of Transition. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- 43. Outhwaite W. and Ray L. 2005. Social Theory and Postcommunism. Oxford: Blackwell.
- 44. Platz, S. 2000. "The Shape of National Time: Daily Life, History, and Identity During Armenia's Transition to Independence, 1991-1994," in Berdahl, Daphne, Matti Bunzl and Martha Lampland (eds.) Altering States: Ethnographies of Transition in Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- 45. Poghosyan G. 2003. Armenian Society in Transition, Yerevan, (in Russian).
- 46. Roman D. 2003.Fragmented Identities: Popular Culture, Sex, and Everyday Life in Postcommunist Romania.Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.
- 47. Simmel on Culture: Selected Writings. Frisby, David Patrick and Mike Featherstone (eds.) 1998. London: Sage Publications.
- 48. Skultans V. 1998. The Testimony of Lives: Narrative and Memory in Post-Soviet Latvia. London: Routledge.
- 49. Stanilov, Kiril. 2009. The Post-Socialist City: Urban Form and Space Transformations in Central and Eastern Europe after Socialism. Springer, Netherland

- 50. Tadevosyan A. 2011. Everyday life and Cultural Transitions in Post-Independence Period, in: «VEM» Armenian Journal, # 1, pp. 83-101. (in Armenian).
- 51. Tadevosyan A. 2010. The Urban Culture of Yerevan: The Transformations of the Social Culture in the Soviet and Post-Soviet Yerevan, in: «VEM» Armenian Journal, # 4, pp. 112-132. (in Armenian)
- 52. Verdery K. 1996. What Was Socialism, and Wath Comes Next, New Jersey: Prinseton niversity Press
- 53. Wallerstein, I. 1979. The Capitalist World Economy, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 54. Wanner C. 1998. Burden of Dreams: History and Identity in Post-Soviet Ukraine. University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press,
- 55. Werlen, B. 1992. Society, Action and Space: An alternative human geography, London: Routledge.
- 56. Wolfe T. 2000. Cultures and Communities in the Anthropology of Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union. in: Annual Review of Anthropology, vol 29, pp 195-216
- 57. Zerubavel E. 1981. Hidden Rhythms: Schedules and Calendars in Social Life. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- 58. Zerubavel E. 1991. The Fine Line: Making Distinctions in Everyday Life. New York: Free Press.
- 59. Zierhofer W. 2002. Speech Acts and Space(s): Language Pragmatics and the Discursive Constitution of the Social, Environment and Planning A, Vol. 34, pp. 135-137.